Diametric completions

Rolf Schneider

Universität Freiburg

Szeged Workshop in Convex and Discrete Geometry May 21–23, 2012 Let *M* be a nonempty bounded set in a metric space (X, ρ) . The diameter of *M* is

diam
$$M := \sup\{\rho(x, y) : x, y \in M\}.$$

M is diametrically complete if

```
diam (M \cup \{x\}) > diam M \quad \forall x \in X \setminus M.
```

A (diametric) completion of M is any diametrically complete set containing M and with the same diameter.

Every nonempty bounded set has a completion (many, in general); for example, by Zorn's lemma.

E. Akin: Maximal *r*-diameter sets and solids of constant width. arXiv:1003.5824v2

In Euclidean spaces, Meissner (1911) proved:

K is diametrically complete \iff K is of constant width.

Recall that a convex body *K* in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is of constant width *d* if any two parallel supporting planes of *K* have distance *d*.

Equivalent:

The support function of K satisfies

$$h(K, u) + h(K, -u) = d \quad \forall u.$$

Equivalent:

$$K + (-K) = B(o, d)$$
, ball of radius d .



















The space of bodies of constant width d in \mathbb{R}^n is an infinite-dimensional closed convex set in \mathcal{K}^n , the space of convex bodies:

If K_1, K_2 are bodies of constant width d, then

$$(1 - \lambda)K_1 + \lambda K_2$$
 $(0 \le \lambda \le 1)$

is a body of constant width *d*.

The space of bodies of constant width d in \mathbb{R}^n is an infinite-dimensional closed convex set in \mathcal{K}^n , the space of convex bodies:

If K_1, K_2 are bodies of constant width d, then

$$(1 - \lambda)K_1 + \lambda K_2$$
 $(0 \le \lambda \le 1)$

is a body of constant width *d*.

What about diametrically complete sets in Minkowski spaces?

Some answers are given in:

Joint work with José Pedro Moreno:

• Local Lipschitz continuity of the diametric completion mapping. *Houston J. Math.*

• Diametrically complete sets in Minkowski spaces. *Israel J. Math.*

• The structure of the space of diametrically complete sets in a Minkowski space. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*

• Canonical diametric completions in Minkowski spaces. (work in progress)

Minkowski spaces

 $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ Minkowski space: a finite-dimensional real normed space

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ defines distance $\rho(x, y) := \|x - y\|$, width, diameter, unit ball $B := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \le 1\}$, balls $\lambda B + z$.

Bodies of constant width and (diametrically) complete sets are defined as before. Facts:

- Every set of diameter *d* is contained in a complete set of diameter *d*.
- K is of constant width \implies K is diametrically complete

Minkowski spaces

 $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ Minkowski space: a finite-dimensional real normed space

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ defines distance $\rho(x, y) := \|x - y\|$, width, diameter, unit ball $B := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \le 1\}$, balls $\lambda B + z$.

Bodies of constant width and (diametrically) complete sets are defined as before. Facts:

- Every set of diameter *d* is contained in a complete set of diameter *d*.
- K is of constant width \implies K is diametrically complete

Meissner stated the converse, and this was believed for more than 50 years (and 'reproved'), until Eggleston (1965) gave counterexamples. The situation is worse: Theorem 1. For a Minkowski space X, the following are equivalent:

- Every complete set is of constant width.
- The set of complete sets is convex.
- The set of completions of any given set is convex.

Two-dimensional spaces have these properties.

Theorem 1. For a Minkowski space *X*, the following are equivalent:

- Every complete set is of constant width.
- The set of complete sets is convex.
- The set of completions of any given set is convex.

Two-dimensional spaces have these properties.

Theorem 2. (Yost 1991, M–S 2010)

Let $n \ge 3$. In the space of all n-dimensional Minkowski spaces, a dense open set of Minkowski spaces has the following properties:

- The only bodies of constant width are balls.
- The sum of a complete body and a ball need not be complete.
- The set of completions of a given set need not be convex.

Description of complete bodies

 $K, M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, dim K = n, d > 0

Supporting slab of K:

set bounded by two parallel supporting hyperplanes of K

A supporting slab of K is *M*-regular if at least one of the bounding hyperplanes of the parallel supporting slab of M contains a smooth boundary point of M.

Theorem 3. *K* is a diametrically complete body of diameter d if and only if (a) and (b) hold:

(a) Every B-regular supporting slab of K has width ≤ d.
(b) Every K-regular supporting slab of K has width = d.





On the space of diametrically complete sets

Let \mathcal{D}_X be the space of translation classes of diametrically complete sets of diameter 2 in *X*.

Theorem 4. If $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ is polyhedral (i.e., the unit ball B is a polytope), then \mathcal{D}_X is the union set of a finite polytopal complex.

The proof uses representations of polyhedral sets introduced by McMullen (1973) (a variant of the Gale diagram technique).

Corollary. If X is polyhedral, then D_X has only finitely many extreme points.

Open problem: Does this characterize polyhedral norms? (Yes, if n = 2)

Let D_2 be the space of diametrically complete sets of diameter 2 in *X*.

In Euclidean space, D_2 is convex.

In a typical Minkowski space (in the sense of Baire category), D_2 is not even starshaped.

Let D_2 be the space of diametrically complete sets of diameter 2 in *X*.

In Euclidean space, D_2 is convex.

In a typical Minkowski space (in the sense of Baire category), D_2 is not even starshaped.

A positive result:

Theorem 5. The space D_2 is contractible.

 $\gamma(K) :=$ set of completions of K $v_{\max}(K) := \max\{V(M) : M \in \gamma(K)\}$ $\gamma_{m\nu}(K) := \{ M \in \gamma(K) : V(M) = \nu_{\max}(K) \}$ Groemer (1986): $\gamma_{mv}(K)$ consists of translates of one body $\tau(K) := M - s(M)$ for any $M \in \gamma_{m\nu}(K)$, s Steiner point loc. Lip. continuity of γ (M–S 2010) \Rightarrow v_{max} is continuous $\Rightarrow \tau$ is continuous

For $K \in D_2$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, let $K_{\lambda} := (1 - \lambda)K + \lambda B$ and

$$F(K, \lambda) := \tau \left(\frac{2}{\operatorname{diam} K_{\lambda}} K_{\lambda} \right) + (1 - \lambda) s(K) + \lambda s(B).$$

Then $F : D_2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D_2$ is continuous and F(K, 0) = K, F(K, 1) = B. Hence, D_2 is contractible.

Canonical completions

Is 'completion' continuous?

Let $\gamma(K)$ be the set of all completions of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

Theorem 6. The mapping $\gamma : \mathcal{K}^n \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}^n)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, with respect to the Hausdorff metric δ induced by the norm on \mathcal{K}^n and the Hausdorff metric Δ induced by δ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}^n)$, the space of nonempty compact subsets of \mathcal{K}^n .

In general, γ is many-valued.

For example, if K is a segment of length d in Euclidean space, then a suitable translate of any body of constant width d is a completion of K.



Does γ have a continuous selection?

The usual constructions of completions involve many arbitrary choices and hence cannot yield continuous completions.

This raises the question for 'canonical' completions.

A construction by Maehara (1984) can be slightly generalized.

Does γ have a continuous selection?

The usual constructions of completions involve many arbitrary choices and hence cannot yield continuous completions.

This raises the question for 'canonical' completions.

A construction by Maehara (1984) can be slightly generalized.

Definition. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ of diameter *d*, let

$$\eta({\mathcal K}):=igcap_{x\in {\mathcal K}}{\mathcal B}(x,d),\qquad heta({\mathcal K}):=igcap_{x\in \eta({\mathcal K})}{\mathcal B}(x,d).$$

Then

$$\mu(\mathbf{K}) := \frac{1}{2}[\eta(\mathbf{K}) + \theta(\mathbf{K})]$$

is the Maehara set of K.



Always true: $\mu(K)$ is a tight cover of K (i.e., contains K and has diameter d).

In Euclidean spaces: $\mu(K)$ is of constant width, and hence a completion of *K*.

Where does this work?

Definition. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ with unit ball *B* has the s-property if $B \cap (B + x)$ is a summand of *B*, for each *x* with $\|x\| \le 1$.

Maehara (1984), Sallee (1987), Balashov & Polovinkin (2000), Karasëv (2001):

Theorem. The Maehara set $\mu(K)$, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, is of constant width and hence a completion of K, if and only if the norm of X has the s-property.

This completion is locally Lipschitz continuous:

Theorem 7. Suppose that the norm of X has the s-property, and let 2C denote the Jung constant of X.

Let $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be convex bodies with

$$\delta(K,L) \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{3}(1-C)\min\{d_K,d_L\}.$$

Then

$$\delta(\mu(K),\mu(L)) \leq \frac{7-C}{1-C} \epsilon \leq \frac{13}{2}(n+1) \epsilon.$$

In particular, if X is a Euclidean space, then

$$\delta(\mu(K),\mu(L)) \leq 20 \epsilon,$$

and if X is a two-dimensional Minkowski space, then

$$\delta(\mu(K),\mu(L)) \leq rac{15}{2} \epsilon.$$

Two new properties of the Maehara completion in Euclidean spaces:

Recall that $\gamma(K)$ denotes the set of all completions of K.

Theorem 8. The Maehara completion of K is a metric centre of $\gamma(K)$, that is, it minimizes the maximal Hausdorff distance from the elements of $\gamma(K)$.

The Maehara completion of a convex body is at least as smooth as the body itself:

Theorem 9. Every normal cone of the Maehara completion $\mu(K)$ is contained in some normal cone of K.

Back to Minkowski spaces:

The only known examples of Minkowski spaces with the s-property are Euclidean spaces, two-dimensional Minkowski spaces, and \oplus_∞ sums of such spaces.

The only known examples of Minkowski spaces with the s-property are Euclidean spaces, two-dimensional Minkowski spaces, and \oplus_{∞} sums of such spaces.

Therefore, for general Minkowski spaces, a different canonical completion procedure is needed.

For this, we extend a Euclidean method of Reinhardt (1922) (n = 2) and Bückner (1936) (n = 3).

In a first step, we replace each K by

$$\frac{1}{2}[\eta(K)+K],$$

which is a tight cover of *K* and has inradius at least $(2(n+1))^{-1}(\operatorname{diam} K)$.

The generalized Bückner completion

A convex body K of diameter d is complete if and only if

$$K = \bigcap_{x \in K} B(x, d)$$

(the spherical intersection property).

Aiming at completing a convex body K of diameter d, one is therefore tempted to consider

$$\eta(K) := \bigcap_{x \in K} B(x, d),$$

the wide spherical hull of K.

However, in general, diam $\eta(K) > d$.

Bückner had the idea to consider a 'one-sided' version of the wide spherical hull, namely

$$\mathcal{C}_u(\mathcal{K}):=\eta(\mathcal{K})\cap Z^+(\mathcal{K},u) \quad ext{for given } u
eq o,$$

with

$$Z^+(K, u) := \{x + \lambda u : x \in K, \ \lambda \ge 0\}.$$

$C_u(K)$ is a tight cover of K!

But $C_u(K)$ is generally not complete.

However, it is 'partially complete':

Each 'upper' boundary point (w.r.t. u) is the endpoint of a diameter segment of $C_u(K)$.



Finitely many iterations, for *m* fixed directions u_1, \ldots, u_m (where *m* depends only on *n*) yield a completion C(K) of *K*.

We call C the generalized Bückner completion.

Theorem 10. The generalized Bückner completion is locally Lipschitz continuous.

Perfect norms

Recall:

Theorem 1. For a Minkowski space *X*, the following are equivalent:

- Every complete set is of constant width.
- The set of complete sets is convex.
- The set of completions of any given set is convex.

Two-dimensional spaces have these properties.

Definition. (Karasëv) A Minkowski space with these properties and its norm are called perfect.

Eggleston (1965) and Chakerian & Groemer (1983) have asked for a determination of all perfect Minkowski spaces. This is still open. We know from Maehara and Karasëv:

Theorem. If the norm of X has the s-property, then X is perfect.

Conjectures. Let *K* be a convex body of dimension \geq 3 with the s-property. If *K* is either smooth (R. S. 1974) or strictly convex (Karasëv 2001), then it is an ellipsoid.

Theorem (Karasëv 2001). A Minkowski space with a strictly convex norm is perfect if and only if its norm has the s-property.

For general norms, this is not true.

Example:





A new necessary condition:

Theorem 11. If B is the unit ball of a perfect norm, then

$$\frac{1}{2}(B\cap (B+x))$$

is a summand of B for all x with $||x|| \leq 1$.

Dürer's (1514) octahedron shows that the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.

The proof of Theorem 11:

Lemma. Let $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$. If to each supporting hyperplane H of K there are a point $x \in H \cap K$ and a vector $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x \subset L + t \subset K$, then L is a summand of K.



The steps to prove Theorem 11:

 $\|u\| \le 1$, *H* arbitrary support plane to $B \cap (B + u)$ $y \in H \cap B \cap (B + u)$

 $S := \frac{1}{2}((B \cap (B+u) - y) + y \Rightarrow \operatorname{diam} S \cup \{o, u\} \le 1$

 $\mathcal{C} := \text{completion of } \mathcal{S} \cup \{o, u\} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B} \cap (\mathcal{B} + u)$

- \Rightarrow *H* supports *C* at *y*
- H' := support plane of C parallel to H
- $\|\cdot\|$ is perfect \Rightarrow dist (H, H') = 1

Let $y' \in C \cap H' \Rightarrow C \subset B + y'$

H supports B + y', since dist (H, y') = 1

Since *H* was arbitrary, the lemma shows that $\frac{1}{2}(B \cap (B+u))$ is a summand of *B*.

Thank you for your attention!

Thank you for your attention!

And the organizers for the Workshop!