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## Motivation

Discrete tomography reconstruct (discrete) images of objects from their projections


Extremely ambiguous if only a few projections are available $\rightarrow$ further information is needed

## Image and projections

Image binary square matrix, $F_{n \times n}$
Projections sum of rows and columns, $\mathcal{H}(F), \mathcal{V}(F)$


$$
\mathcal{H}_{i}(F)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} F_{i j}
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{j}(F)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i j}
$$

## Switching components

- Submatrix of an image in size of $2 \times 2$ where switching 0 -s and $1-s$ do not change the projections
- Necessary and sufficent condition for ambiguity

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$



## Morphological skeleton

- Representation of shapes
- Close to the topological skeleton
- Easy to generate
- Can store the image uniquely (with some additional information)



## Morphological skeleton

The morphological skeleton of the binary image $F$ with the structuring element $Y$ can be extracted with morphological operators (erosion and dilation).

$$
S(F, Y)=\bigcup_{k} S_{k}(F, Y),
$$

where

$$
S_{k}(F, Y)=\left(F \ominus_{k} Y\right)-\left[\left(F \ominus_{k+1} Y\right) \oplus Y\right]
$$

## Morphological skeleton

Example for erosion and dilation


Original $F$


Erosion $F \ominus Y$


Dilation $F \oplus Y$

## Morphological skeleton

If we know the structuring element $Y$ and the $S_{k}(F, Y)$ for each $k$, then we can reconstruct the orignal image:

$$
F=\bigcup_{k}\left[S_{k}(F, Y) \oplus_{k} Y\right]
$$

or in a different form:

$$
F=\bigcup_{p \in S(F, Y)}\left(p \oplus_{k_{p}} Y\right),
$$

where $k_{p}$ is a unique value for every $p$ such that $p \in S_{k_{p}}(F)$.

## Morphological skeleton

Let $K(S):=\left(k_{p_{1}}, k_{p_{2}}, \ldots, k_{p_{|S|}}\right)$ the series of the $k_{p}$ values, $p_{i} \in S=S(F, Y)$, and let the structuring element $Y:=\{(-1,0),(0,-1),(0,0),(0,1),(1,0)\}$.

$F$ is uniquely determined by $K(S)$ and $Y$.


## Skeleton based reconstruction

## Main task

Given projections $H$ and $V$, morphological skeleton $S$ and structuring element $Y$. We want to find $K(S)$ in a way that the corresponding $F$ is the closest to the required projections:

$$
f(K(S))=\|H-\mathcal{H}(F)\|_{2}+\|V-\mathcal{V}(F)\|_{2} \rightarrow \min
$$



## Theoretical results

## Theorem (Un-uniqueness)

The skeleton based reconstruction is not unique, since there could be an image pair $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ that they have the same projections and skeleton, however $F_{1} \neq F_{2}$.


Note that $(2,1,1,2)=K_{1}(S) \quad \neq \quad K_{2}(S)=(1,2,2,1)$.

## Theoretical results

## Theorem (Skeletal smoothness)

For any image $F$ and any skeletal points $p, q \in S(F, Y)$,

$$
\left|k_{p}-k_{q}\right|<\|p-q\|_{1},
$$

where $\|.\|_{1}$ denotes the Manhattan norm.

As a special case, if $p$ is 8 -adjacent to $q$, then $\left|k_{p}-k_{q}\right|<2$, that we are to use during the reconstruction.

## Theoretical results

Given $H, V$ projection vectors, $S$ skeletal set. Does any binary image $F$ exist where $H=\mathcal{H}(F), V=\mathcal{V}(F), S=S(F, Y)$ and $F$ is 4-connected?

## Theorem (NP-completedness)

The problem above is NP-complete.
Note that we fixed the structuring element $Y$.

## Conjecture

The problem above is still NP-complete even without requiring the 4-connectedness.

## Simulated Annealing

- Iterative stochastic method for finding a global minimum of a function
- Could find a near-optimal minimum in a reasonable time
- Has many technical parameters, in our case:
- Variables: $K(S)$
- Energy function: $f(K(S)) \rightarrow$ min
- Stopping criteria: iteration number $M$ or zero energy
- Annealing schedule: $T(t)=T_{0} \cdot\left(\frac{T_{s}}{T_{0}}\right)^{\frac{t}{M}}$, where $t$ denotes time



## Simulated Annealing

 NVCNVC (No Vase Constraint) model:

- $f(K(S))=\|H-\mathcal{H}(F)\|_{2}+\|V-\mathcal{V}(F)\|_{2}$
- Changing a variable: simply change an element $k_{p} \in K(S)$ randomly


## Simulated Annealing DVC

DVC (Dynamic Vase Constraint) model:

- $f$ is the same as in NVC
- Changing a variable: change an element $k_{p} \in K(S)$ such that $\left|k_{p}-k_{q}\right|<C(t)$ for each $q$-adjacent to $p$ and

$$
C(t)=\left\lceil C_{0} \cdot\left(\frac{C_{s}}{C_{0}}\right)^{\frac{t}{M}}\right\rceil
$$

Note that $C(t)$ is monotonically decreasing and the limit is 1

## Simulated Annealing CEF

CEF (Combined Energy Function) model:

- $f(K(S))=\alpha \cdot\left(\|H-\mathcal{H}(F)\|_{2}+\|V-\mathcal{V}(F)\|_{2}\right)+$

$$
+(1-\alpha) \cdot \sum_{\|p-q\|_{1} \leq 1} h\left(k_{p}, k_{q}\right)
$$

where

$$
h\left(k_{p}, k_{q}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if }\left|k_{p}-k_{q}\right| \leq 1 \\
\left|k_{p}-k_{q}\right| / 2 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Changing a variable: the same as in NVC


## Results

- Artificial images in size of $256 \times 256$
(1) Simple convex shape
(2) Grid of comvex shapes
(3) Random set of convex shapes
(4) Miscellaneous images
- Technical parameters: $M=50000, T_{0}=10, T_{s}=0.001$
- Testing environment: Intel Core 2 Duo T250, 1.5 GHz, 2GB RAM


## Error measurement

$$
E=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(b_{i}-b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $b_{i}$ and $b_{i}^{\prime}$ are the elements of the original and the reconstructed projections, respectively.

## Results

| Image | Method | CPU | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | NVC | 3842 | 1060 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{10}$ | 4030 | 98 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 4116 | 97 |
|  | DVC ${ }_{1}$ | 4563 | 18 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.3}$ | 4358 | 2468 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.5}$ | 4415 | 1675 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.7}$ | 4435 | 1305 |
|  | NVC | 3784 | 3405 |
|  | DVC ${ }_{10}$ | 3038 | 1291 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 3164 | 4288 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 3566 | 5307 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.3}$ | 5412 | 5665 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.5}$ | 5387 | 4829 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.7}$ | 5328 | 3212 |


| Image | Method | CPU | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NVC | 7276 | 1285 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{10}$ | 7900 | 174 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 8127 | 146 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 4473 | 0 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.3}$ | 7626 | 2578 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.5}$ | 7665 | 1849 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.7}$ | 7691 | 1505 |
| $88$ | NVC | 4346 | 6136 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{10}$ | 4733 | 1066145 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 4609 | 1722350 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 4926 | 3302481 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.3}$ | 7308 | 14371 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.5}$ | 7243 | 8896 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.7}$ | 7222 | 7402 |

## Results

| Image | Method | CPU | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | NVC | 1666 | 1341 |
|  | DVC ${ }_{10}$ | 1215 | 292 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 1234 | 314 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 1302 | 294 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.3}$ | 2904 | 2534 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.5}$ | 2827 | 1950 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.7}$ | 2851 | 1732 |
| $00$ | NVC | 3537 | 2530 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{10}$ | 2852 | 9154 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 2981 | 13138 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 3226 | 67493 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.3}$ | 6380 | 5183 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.5}$ | 6367 | 4102 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEF}_{0.7}$ | 6343 | 3029 |


| Image | Method | CPU | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NVC | 2165 | 2709 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{10}$ | 1713 | 6042 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 1724 | 7962 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 1910 | 6360 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.3}$ | 4123 | 5688 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.5}$ | 4131 | 4178 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.7}$ | 4114 | 3346 |
|  | NVC | 2757 | 4034 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{10}$ | 2304 | 4523 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{5}$ | 2467 | 7472 |
|  | $\mathrm{DVC}_{1}$ | 2430 | 13096 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.3}$ | 8884 | 6663 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.5}$ | 8856 | 5012 |
|  | $\mathrm{CEFF}_{0.7}$ | 8959 | 4407 |

## Results

Examples


Original


Original


Skeleton


Skeleton


Result with CEF $_{0.5}$


Result with NVC

## Conclusions

- Image reconstruction is extremely underdetermined if only a few projections are used
- Morphological skeleton can reduce the ambiguity, however, the reconstruction problem is (possibly) NP-complete
- 3 variants of SA are tested on artifical images

NVC generally acceptable reconstruction
DVC smoother results, sometimes converges very slowly (highly depends on the initial image)
CEF similar results as NVC, computationally intensive

## Future work

- Prove NP- (or P-) completedness of the original task and its variants (such as $h$-convex images)
- Examine strategies for choosing the initial image for SA
- Find a more sophisticated function minimizer
- Try other prior information, such as smoothness on the boundary
- Study the robustness of the reconstruction when the projections are corrupted by noise
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