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## Tests for cyclic variation Sinusoidal curve fitting



## Phase Shift

- The general formula for sinusoidal function with one cycle is:
- $Y=A \sin (\omega x-\Phi)=A \sin [\omega(x-\Phi / \omega)]$, where $\omega>0$ and $\Phi \in R$ (real numbers)
- The number of $\Phi / \omega$ is called the phase shift of the graph $Y=A \sin (\omega x-\Phi)$.


## Period of this function

- A period begin when
- $\omega \mathrm{x}-\Phi=0$
- and will end when
- $\omega x-\Phi=2 \pi$
- For the graph $Y=A \sin (\omega x-\Phi)$ the period is

$$
\text { Period } T=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega}, \quad \text { Phase shift }=\frac{\Phi}{\omega}, \text { Amplitude }=|A|
$$

## Characteristics of sine function

- Domain: real numbers ( $x \in R$ )
- Range: $-1 \leq y \leq+1 \mid y \in R$


## Finding sinusoidal function from data

- To fit the data to a sine function of the form:
- $y=A \sin (\omega x-\Phi)+B$
- where $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \omega$ and $\Phi$ are constants.

Amplitude $A=\frac{\text { largest data value- smallest data value }}{2}$
Vertical shift $B=\frac{\text { largest data value }+ \text { smallest data value }}{2}$

## Example

- The data given in this rable represent the average monthly temperatures in Denver, Colorado.


## Statistical methods

- Four methods employed for the detection of seasonal variations in epidemiological data are described:
- Edwards' method,
- Walter-Elwood's method,
- Logistic regression (Stolwijk et al) including periodic functions (a sine and a cosine function, simultaneously).
- Cosinor (linear regression) model


## Edwards' method

- Edwards JH. The recognition and estimation of cyclic trends. Ann Hum Genet Lond 1961;25:83-87

- A simple test for cyclic trend in independent events is presented in the form of the rim of an unit circle divided into equal sector, corresponding to time intervals, and a number in each rim-sector specifying the number of events observed
- In the absence of any cyclic trend the expected centre of gravity of these masses will be at the centre of the circle. Any excess of deficit in neighbouring sectors will have consistent effect on the position of the centre of gravity, and whose distance from the centre will have a probability distribution on the null hypothesis, and whose direction will indicate the position of maximum or minimum liability, or both.
- Consider N events distributed over k equal sectors (eg. 12 months);
- Let the number of events in sector ith $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Take square root of ni, then any sector contribution to the moment about any arbitrary diameter making an angle $\theta_{i}$ with $\sqrt{ } n_{i} \sin \theta_{i}$


$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_{i}} \cos \theta_{i}}{k} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\bar{y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_{i}} \sin \theta_{i}}{k} \\
d=\sqrt{\bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## $1+\alpha \sin \left(\theta_{i}-\theta^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=4 \mathrm{~d} \\
& \theta *=\arctan \left(\frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Walter-Elwood's method

- Walter SD, Elwood JM. A test for seasonality of events with a variable population at risk. Br J Prev Soc Med 1975;29:18-21



## Walter-Elwood

- We suppose that within certain time span (e.g.: a year) there are $k$ sectors (e.g.: 12 months) and that in sector I there are ni events from a population at risk of size mi (e.g.: total births during that month ). The total number of events is $N=\sum n_{i}$ and the total number of population at risk is $M=\sum m_{i}$.
- H0: The excepted number of events in a sector is proportional to the population at risk in that sector, i.e.: $E\left(n_{i}\right)=N m_{i} / M$
- The data by weights $\sqrt{ } n_{i}$ placed around a unit circle at points corresponding to the sector midpoints at angles $\theta$ i to an arbitrary diameter (e.g.: the diameter through 1 January).

$$
N=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} ; M=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}
$$

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_{i}} \cos \theta_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_{i}}} \quad \bar{y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_{i}} \sin \theta_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{n_{i}}}
$$

## $E\left(n_{i}\right)=N m_{i} / M$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{N m_{i} / M} \cos \theta_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{N m_{i} / M}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{m_{i}} \cos \theta_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{m_{i}}}
\end{gathered} \mu_{\bar{y}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{m_{i}} \sin \theta_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{m_{i}}} .
$$

## Test statistics

$$
\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{\bar{x}}}{\sigma_{\bar{x}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\bar{y}-\mu_{\bar{y}}}{\sigma_{\bar{y}}}\right)^{2}
$$

Which on the null hypothesis is distributed as x 2 with 2 d.f.

The distance $d$ of the sample centre of gravity from its null expectation is given by

$$
d=\sqrt{\left(\bar{x}-\mu_{\bar{x}}\right)^{2}+\left(\bar{y}-\mu_{\bar{y}}\right)^{2}}
$$

If it is required to fit a simple harmonic trend to the data, we may suppose that the expected frequency in sector $i$ is proportional to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{i}=m_{i}\left[1+\alpha \cos \left(\theta_{i}-\theta^{*}\right)\right] \\
\theta^{*}=\arctan \left(\frac{\bar{y}-\mu_{\bar{y}}}{\bar{x}-\mu_{\bar{x}}}\right) \\
\alpha=\frac{2\left[d \sqrt{(k M)}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{m_{i}} \cos \left(\theta_{i}-\theta^{*}\right)\right]}{\left.\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{m_{i}} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta_{i}-\theta^{*}\right)\right]}
\end{array}
$$

In the case $\theta_{i}=2 \pi i / k, m_{i}=M / k, i=1,2, . . k$ it may be shown that

$$
\mu_{\bar{x}}=0 \quad \mu_{\bar{y}}=0
$$

The adequacy of the simple harmonic curve may be evaluated by a goodness-of-fit test using a further X 2 statistics ( $\mathrm{k}-1 \mathrm{df}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i}-n_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / n_{i}^{\prime} \\
& n_{i}^{\prime}=N c_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Logistic regression

- Stolwijk AM, Straatman H and Zielhuis GA. Studying seasonality by using sine and cosine functions in regression analysis J Epidemiol Community Health 1999;53:235-38

A logistic regression model was developed to analyse seasonality. Such a model will have the following form:

$$
\ln \left(\frac{P}{1-P}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{\text {season }} \times \text { season }+\beta_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \text { x }^{1-}+\ldots+\beta_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}
$$

- To define the variable "season" in these models, it is hypothesised that the seasonal pattern under study follows a cosine function with variable amplitude and horizontal shift. In this cosine function, two periods must be defined:
- (i) the time period that defines the measure of malformation, for example, "month"
- (ii) the period described by one cosine function.
- As an example we take "month" as the time period under study, and "one year" as the period of the cosine function.

The cosine function can be described as:

$$
f(t)=\alpha \times \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-\theta\right]
$$

T= number of time periods described by one cosine function over ( $0,2 \pi$ ) (for example, T = 12 months);
$t=$ time period (for example, for January: $t=1$, for February: $t=2$, etc);
$\alpha \cdot=$ amplitude, $>0 ;$
$\theta=$ horizontal shift of the cosine function (in radials).

## A trigonometrical identities

$$
\cos (\alpha-\beta)=\cos \alpha \cos \beta+\sin \alpha \sin \beta
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t)=\beta_{1} \times \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{2} \times \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right) \\
& \beta_{1}=\alpha \sin \theta \quad \beta_{2}=\alpha \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ln \left(\frac{P}{1-P}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \times \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{2} \times \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \times \mathrm{C}_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}
$$

$$
P_{t}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \times \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{2} \times \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{C_{1}} \times C_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{C_{N}} \times C_{N}}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \times \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{2} \times \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{C_{1}} \times C_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{C_{N}} \times C_{N}}}
$$

$$
f(t)=\alpha \mathrm{x} \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-\theta\right]
$$

$$
f(t)=\beta_{1} x \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{2} x \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)
$$

$$
\beta_{1}=\alpha \sin \theta \quad \beta_{2}=\alpha \cos \theta
$$

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}}
$$

Two extreme values in $(0, T)$ can be found at the solutions of :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tan \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)=\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}} \\
t=\arctan \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{T}}{2 \pi}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\beta 1 / \beta 2>0$, then $t>0$ and indicates the first extreme; the other extreme value is found at $\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{T} / 2$.

If $\beta 1 / \beta 2<0$, then $t<0$; the extreme values are found at $\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{T} / 2$ and at $\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{T}$.

If $\beta 1>0$, the first extreme is a maximum and the second a minimum; if $\beta 1<0$ it is the other way around.

The maximum extreme $\left(\mathrm{t}_{\text {max }}\right)$ indicates the shift $\theta$, which can be calculated by:

$$
\theta=\left(\frac{2 \pi t_{\max }}{T}\right)
$$

## Cosinor method

- A regression model was developed by Halberg et al to analyze seasonality. Such a model will have the following form:

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{\text {season }} \times \text { season }+\beta_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \times \mathrm{C}_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}
$$

- To define the variable "season" in these models, it is hypothesized that the seasonal pattern under study follows a cosine function with variable amplitude and horizontal shift.
- The cosine function can be described as:

$$
f(t)=\alpha \times \cos \left[\left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-\theta\right]
$$

- T= number of time periods described by one cosine function over ( $0,2 \pi$ ) (for example, $\mathrm{T}=12$ months);
- $\mathrm{t}=$ time period (for example, for January: $\mathrm{t}=1$, for February: $\mathfrak{t}=2$, etc);
- $\alpha=$ amplitude, $>0$;
- $\theta=$ horizontal shift of the cosine function (in radials).
- As $\theta$ is unknown, transformation of this cosine function is required before the regression analysis can be performed. Therefore the following formula is included into a regression model:

$$
f(t)=\beta_{1} \times \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+\beta_{2} \times \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)
$$

## where

$$
\beta_{1}=\alpha \sin \theta \quad \beta_{2}=\alpha \cos \theta
$$

- The amplitude is: $\alpha=\sqrt{\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}}$
- and two extreme values in $(0, T)$ can be found at

$$
t=\arctan \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{2}}\right) \times \frac{\mathrm{T}}{2 \pi}
$$

## Example



## Example

- In the following table are given monthly frequencies of cases of anencephalus and total births for Canada in the period 1954-62 (Elwood, 1975). A cursory inspection of the data reveals a distinct general excess of total births during the summer months whereas the anenchephalus cases demonstrate no consistent seasonal patern.


## Data table

| Month | Anecephalus | Total birth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 468 | 340797 |
| 2 | 399 | 318319 |
| 3 | 471 | 363626 |
| 4 | 437 | 359689 |
| 5 | 376 | 373878 |
| 6 | 410 | 361290 |
| 7 | 399 | 368867 |
| 8 | 472 | 358531 |
| 9 | 418 | 363551 |
| 10 | 448 | 352173 |
| 11 | 409 | 331964 |
| 12 | 397 | 336894 |
| Total | 5104 | 4229579 |

## Results

| All children | Edwards' | Walter-Elwood | Stolwijk's |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Amplitude | 0.017 | 0.07 | 0.069 |
| angle of maximum <br> rate | -18.8 | -7.4 | 2.73 |
| p-value | 0.67 | 0.002 | 0.029 |

## Logistic regression fit



## Cosinor method



## Example

| Months | Temperature |
| :--- | ---: |
| Jan | 28 |
| Febr | 27 |
| Mar | 25,5 |
| Apr | 22 |
| May | 18,5 |
| Jun | 16 |
| Jul | 15 |
| Aug | 16 |
| Sep | 18 |
| Oct | 21,5 |
| Nov | 24 |
| Dec | 26 |

## Cosinor analysis (Temperature)

- Regression with robust standard errors

| Number of obs | $=12$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| F( 2, | $12)$ | 927.21 |
| Prob $>$ F | $=0.0000$ |  |
| R-squared | $=0.9947$ |  |
| Root MSE | $=.37604$ |  |


| temp | Robust |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | $P>\|t\|$ | [95\% Conf | Interval] |
| sin $x$ | 3.534882 | . 1420283 | 24.889 | 0.000 | 3.213592 | 3.856172 |
| cos_x | 5.211912 | . 1642083 | 31.740 | 0.000 | 4.840447 | 5.583377 |
| _cons | 21.45833 | . 1085546 | 197.673 | 0.000 | 21.21277 | 21.7039 |

## Cosinor method to fit harmonic trend for monthly mean temperature

Cosinor method to fit cyclic trend for mean temperature in Cape Town


## Example

- The possibility that Crohn' disease has an infective aetiology has been postulatae don various occasions since 1932. Cave and Freedman (1975) investigated the case histories of patients with an acute onset of symptoms leading to a diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease to ascertain the presence of environmental or other factors which might have a bearing on aetiology in relation to transmissibility, and compared the findings among patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.


## Seasonality of Crohn's disease



- Edwards Test
- Total Number of Cases $=211$
- Seasonality Test

Goodness of fit test

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{chi} 2(2) & =5.6201 \\
\text { Prob }>\text { chi2 } & =0.8975
\end{array}
$$

- $\operatorname{chi} 2(2)=15.8300$
- Parameter

Estimate

■ Amplitude of cyclic variation |. 38735966

- Angle of maximum rate
| 15.254715

Seasonal variation in month of Crohn's disease


## Summary I.

- Edward's method
- No population at risk
- It is being sensitive for extreme values in the data


## Summary II

- Walter-Elwood's method
- 

Use population at risk
Generalization of Edwards' method
Exact month length

## Summary III

## - Logistic regression method

- Use population at risk
- Allowing confounder.


## Summary IV

- Cosinor (linear regression) method
- Allowing confounder.
- No population at risk


## Forecasting methods

## - Moving average



